Defense Related Phytohormones Regulation in Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbioses Depends on the Partner Genotypes

Defense Related Phytohormones Regulation in Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbioses Depends on the Partner Genotypes

I. Fernández, M. Merlos, J. A. López-Ráez, A. Martínez-Medina, N. Ferrol, C. Azcón, P. Bonfante, V. Flors and M. J. Pozo

Abstract

Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) symbioses are mutualistic associations between soil fungi and most vascular plants. Modulation of the hormonal and transcriptional profiles, including changes related to defense signalling, has been reported in many host plants during AM symbioses. These changes have been often related to the improved stress tolerance common in mycorrhizal plants. However, results on the alterations in phytohormones content and their role on the symbiosis are controversial. Here, an integrative analysis of the response of phylogenetically diverse plants (i.e., tomato, soybean, and maize) to two mycorrhizal fungi -Funneliformis mosseae and Rhizophagus irregularis- was performed. The analysis of the defense-related hormones salicylic acid, abscisic acid, and jasmonates, and the expression of marker genes of the pathways they regulate, revealed significant changes in the roots of mycorrhizal plants. These changes depended on both the plant and the AM fungus (AMF) involved. However, general trends can be identified: roots associated with the most effective colonizer R. irregularis showed fewer changes in these defense-related traits, while the colonization by F. mosseae led to significant modifications in all plants tested. The up-regulation of the jasmonate pathway by F. mosseae was found to be highly conserved among the different plant species, suggesting an important role of jasmonates during this AM interaction. Our study evidences a strong influence of the AMF genotype on the modulation of host defense signalling, and offers hints on the role of these changes in the symbiosis.

Keywords: Abscisic acid Arbuscular mycorrhiza Defense Jasmonates Oxylipins Salicylic acid

Introduction

Plants continuously interact with a broad range of organisms present in their environment, giving rise to a large variety of associations. They are frequently challenged by microbial pathogens that threaten their fitness, but they also interact with neutral or beneficial microorganisms that positively affect multiple vital parameters, such as plant nutrition, growth, and stress tolerance (Barea et al. 2005; Raaijmakers et al. 2009). In fact, a microbe-free plant may be considered an “exotic exception” (Partida-Martínez and Heil 2012). Thus, plants constantly have to fine-tune their defense mechanisms, combating deleterious organisms while allowing associations with beneficials (Zamioudis and Pieterse 2012). The effective regulation of defense mechanisms upon recognition of the microbe relies on the phytohormones salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA) and derivatives (JAs), ethylene (ET), and abscisic acid (ABA), which play central roles in coordinating plant responses (Pieterse et al. 2012).

Among plant associations with beneficial microorganisms, arbuscular mycorrhizas (AM) are one of the most widespread, with a major impact on plant health and

ecosystem dynamics (Smith and Read 2008). About 80 % of all land plants are able to form this mutualistic association with soil-borne fungi from the phylum Glomeromycota, known as arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) (Smith and Read 2008). They are obligate biotrophs that obtain carbohydrates from their host, while they improve mineral nutrition and water acquisition by the host plant (Parniske 2008). Additionally, the symbiosis impacts the plant's ability to overcome biotic and abiotic stresses, commonly improving host resistance to pathogens and tolerance to unfavourable environmental conditions (Jung et al. 2012; Pozo and Azcón-Aguilar 2007; Ruiz-Lozano et al. 2012).

Although AMF are considered non specific with respect to host range, there is evidence for certain "functional diversity". That is, both the plant and fungal genotypes determine the benefits of the interaction, some combinations being more efficient than others in terms of nutrition and/or stress resistance improvement (Cavagnaro et al. 2001; Feddermann et al. 2010; Pozo et al. 2002; Smith et al. 2004). The interaction requires a high degree of coordination between both partners, and bidirectional (plant and fungal) control assure a fair trade of resources between the symbionts (Kiers et al. 2011). Indeed, the plant is able to prevent excessive colonization by the AMF, avoiding costs that out-weigh the benefits of the interaction (Breuillin et al. 2010).

Several studies have evidenced alterations in the transcriptional profile of the plant associated with AM development and functioning (Güimil et al. 2005; Hause et al. 2007; Liu et al. 2003; Requena et al. 2007), and many of the changes are related to defense mechanisms (Fiorilli et al. 2009; Gallou et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2007; López-Ráez et al. 2010a) likely contributing to the plant maintaining control over the symbiotic partner. The impact of the symbiosis on plant defense mechanisms may also have consequences on their interactions with other organisms, commonly priming defenses against potential attacks (Campos-Soriano et al. 2012; Pozo and Azcón-Aguilar 2007). Alterations in phytohormones homeostasis may also affect plant tolerance against abiotic stresses, such as salinity, drought, and heavy metals (Aroca et al. 2013; Pineda et al. 2013; Ruiz-Lozano et al. 2012). Thus, alterations in host phytohormone levels in well established mycorrhizas may contribute to the improved plant stress tolerance associated with the symbiosis.

Plant-AMF communication starts prior to the physical contact between both symbionts and continues during the entire symbiotic phase (Bonfante and Genre 2010; Gutjahr and Parniske 2013; López-Ráez et al. 2011). Initially, upon mutual recognition, the fungus has to deal with the plant immune system to proceed with a successful colonization (Zamioudis and Pieterse 2012). Then, a symbiotic program is triggered and the plant actively accommodates the fungus. During root colonization, AMF proliferate within the cortex and form specialized structures called arbuscules where the exchange of nutrients between the partners takes place. The growth of the fungal symbiont inside the root implies the alteration of multiple host cellular processes (Bonfante and Genre 2010). Accordingly, gene expression and hormonal profiles should be precisely regulated even at the cellular level (Balestrini et al. 2007). The symbiotic status is maintained throughout the life of the plant.

Among plant stress-related hormones, ABA, SA, and JAs are believed to play a key role not only in the establishment, but also in the functioning of the AM symbiosis (Hause et al. 2007; Herrera-Medina et al. 2007; López-Ráez et al. 2010a; Ludwig-Müller 2010). Salicylic acid is known to have a major role in plant defense against microorganisms with a biotrophic lifestyle (Pieterse et al. 2009). Therefore, AMF as obligate biotrophs are expected to be negatively affected by SA (Gutjahr and

Paszkowski 2009; Pozo and Azcón-Aguilar 2007). Indeed, previous studies have shown a negative, although transient, effect of SA on fungal root colonization (de Roman et al. 2011; Herrera-Medina et al. 2007). However, contrasting results are reported concerning SA content in well established associations, with higher (Khaosaad et al. 2007; López-Ráez et al. 2010a), unaltered (Campos-Soriano and Segundo 2011), or lower (Herrera-Medina et al. 2003) SA levels in mycorrhizal than in non mycorrhizal roots. As for SA, ET has been shown to have a negative regulatory role on the AM symbioses. Ethylene inhibits root colonization (Fracetto et al. 2013; Geil and Guinel 2002), and reduced ET levels have been reported in mycorrhizal roots (López-Ráez et al. 2010a). In contrast to the negative regulatory role of SA and ET, ABA is necessary for AM development and functionality (Herrera-Medina et al. 2007; Martín-Rodriguez et al. 2010). Again, controversial results have been obtained in relation to the ABA content in AM roots, ranging from an increase (Meixner et al. 2005), no change (López-Ráez et al. 2010a; Martínez-Medina et al. 2011), or decrease (Aroca et al. 2008).

Among all defense related phytohormones analyzed in mycorrhizal plants, particular interest has been devoted to oxylipins, particularly JAs, as they seem to have a dual positive and negative role on the symbioses (Hause and Schaarschmidt 2009; León-Morcillo et al. 2012; López-Ráez et al. 2010a). The oxilipins include biologically active derivatives and intermediates of JA metabolism, such as JA-Ile and OPDA (oxo-phytodienoic acid), respectively. These compounds are widely distributed in plants and affect multiple processes involved in development and defense (Pozo et al. 2004; Santino et al. 2013; Wasternack 2014). Increased levels of JA have been reported in mycorrhizal roots of several monocot and dicot species (Hause et al. 2002; López-Ráez et al. 2010a; Meixner et al. 2005; Stumpe et al. 2005; Vierheilig and Piche 2002), although there also are reports illustrating unaltered JA levels (Riedel et al. 2008). Still, the regulatory role of JAs and other oxylipins on the symbiosis is far from clear. Studies involving foliar application of JA and wounding have resulted in promotion of mycorrhizal root colonization (Landgraf et al. 2012; Regvar et al. 1996), while the use of JA-impaired mutants in different plant species has resulted in both promotion (Herrera-Medina et al. 2008; Ludwig-Müller et al. 2002) and reduction (Isayenkov et al. 2005) of mycorrhizal colonization, supporting a multifunctional role on the symbiosis.

In summary, current data on defense-related phytohormone homeostasis in AM roots are often fragmented and highly contradictory, opening the hypothesis that the changes in mycorrhizal roots are dependent on the plant and the AMF genotype. To verify such a hypothesis and to identify common features conserved across plant species, we combined targeted metabolomics and transcriptional approaches to analyze changes in SA, ABA, and JA signalling pathways associated with well established mycorrhizas in different host plants. We compared plants belonging to distant families, including maize, soybean, and tomato, and their interaction with two different AMF, F. mosseae and R. irregularis. The results provide insight into the conservation of defense signalling regulation in AM symbioses among phylogenetically distant plant species, and provide hints on the mechanisms underlying functional diversity in AM interactions.

Methods and Materials

Plant Growth and AM Inoculation

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. cv. MoneyMaker), soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr. cv.Williams 82), and maize (*Zea mays* L.) seeds were surface sterilized in 4 % sodium hypochlorite, rinsed thoroughly with sterile water, and germinated for 3 days in a container with sterile vermiculite at 25 °C in darkness. Subsequently, individual seedlings were transferred to 0.25 L pots for tomato and soybean and 0.4 L for maize containing a sterile sand:soil (4:1, v:v) mixture. At transplanting, three treatments were set: non mycorrhizal uninoculated controls (Nm) or plants inoculated by adding 10 % (v:v) inoculum of either *F. mosseae* (Fm) or *R. irregularis* (Ri). For each plant species, at least 6 plants per treatment were set up. The AMF *Rhizophagous irregularis* DAOM 197198 -formerly known as *Glomus intraradices* DAOM 197198- and *Funneliformis mosseae* BEG12 -formerly known as *G. mosseae* BEG12- were maintained as a soil–sand-based inoculum. The inoculum consisted of thoroughly mixed rhizosphere samples containing spores, hyphae, and mycorrhizal root fragments. The same amount of soil:sand mix but free from AMF was added to control plants. All plants received an aliquot of a filtrate (20 ml) of both AMF inocula to homogenize the microbial populations. Plants were randomly distributed and grown in a greenhouse at 24/16 °C with a 16/8 h photoperiod and 70 % humidity. All plants were watered three times a week with water for the first 3 weeks, and from the 4th week on with Long Ashton solution (Hewitt 1966), which contained 25 % of the standard phosphorus concentration. Plants were harvested 8 weeks post inoculation, corresponding to a well established mycorrhizal symbiosis, and the fresh weight of shoots and roots was determined. An aliquot of each individual root system was reserved for mycorrhizal quantification. Root of all plants were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until use for metabolite and gene expression analyses.

Mycorrhizal Colonization Determination

Roots were stained with 10 ml of trypan blue solution (Phillips and Hayman 1970) and examined using a Nikon Eclipse 50i microscope and brightfield conditions. The percentage of total root colonization was determined by the gridline intersection method (Giovannetti and Mosse 1980).

Hormone Quantification

OPDA, JA, JA–Ile, ABA, and SA were analyzed by ultraperformance liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) as described by Flors et al. (2008). The hormone content shown for tomato roots corresponds to the experiment described in López-Ráez et al. 2010a. Briefly, a 100 mg aliquot of dry tissue was used per sample. A mixture of internal standards containing 100 ng of [2H6]ABA, 100 ng of dihydrojasmonic acid, 100 ng of prostaglandin B1, and 100 ng of [2H5]SA was added to each sample prior to extraction. Individual calibration curves for each tested compound and internal standard were performed before the analysis. Root tissues were homogenized immediately in 2.5 ml of ultra pure water and centrifuged at 5,000 g for 40 min. Then, the supernatant was acidified and partitioned against diethyl-ether, dried, and resuspended in 1 ml of water/methanol (90:10, v/v). A 20 µl aliquot of this solution was injected into a Waters Acquity UPLC system (Waters). The UPLC was interfaced into a triple quadrupole tandem mass spectrometer (TQD, Waters). LC separation was performed using an Acquity UPLC

BEH C18 analytical column (Waters) at a flow rate of 300 µl min−1. Quantifications were carried out with MassLynx 4.1 software (Waters) using the internal standards as a reference for extraction recovery and the standard curves as quantifiers.

RNA Isolation

Total RNA was extracted using Tri-Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RNA was treated with RQ1 DNase (Promega), purified through a silica column using the NucleoSpin RNA Clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel), and stored at –80ºC until use.

Gene Expression Analysis by Real-Time Quantitative RT-PCR (qPCR)

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR (qPCR) was performed using the iCycler iQ5 system (Bio-Rad) and gene-specific primers (Table S1). Routinely, the first-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of purified total RNA using the iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For the analysis of genes with low expression level, the first-strand cDNA was synthesized with 3 µg of purified total RNA using the BioScript cDNA Synthesis kit (Bioline), according to the manufacturer's instructions. At least three independent biological replicates were analyzed per treatment, each of them corresponding to root systems of individually grown plants, and qPCR reactions were performed in duplicates. Relative quantification of specific mRNA levels was performed using the comparative method of Livak and Schmittgen (2001). Expression values were normalized using the housekeeping genes SlEF, encoding for the tomato elongation factor-1α, GmEF1, which encodes for the soybean elongation factor-1β; and ZmEF1, which encodes for the maize elongation factor-1α. Amplification reactions were run for 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 58 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 40 s. The specificity of each PCR amplification procedure was verified by the melt curve analysis of the PCR product with a heat dissociation protocol (from 58 to 95 °C).

Laser Capture Microdissection

Roots from 8-wk-old tomato plants inoculated or not with AMF (as described above) were dissected into 5–10 mm pieces and fixed in 100 % acetone at 4 °C overnight for paraffin embedding. Root pieces were placed in acetone under vacuum for 15 min, and then kept at 4 °C overnight. The next day they were gradually dehydrated in a graded series of acetone: Neoclear (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) (3:1, 1:1, and 1:3) followed by Neoclear 100 % (twice) with each step being carried out on ice for 1 h. The Neoclear was gradually replaced with paraffin (Paraplast Plus; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). The embedding step was as described in Balestrini et al. (2007). Sections of 14 µm were cut using a rotary microtome (Microm Hm325) and placed on Leica RNase-free PEN foil slides (Leica Microsystem, Inc., Bensheim, Germany) with diethyl pyrocarbonate-distilled water. The sections were dried at 40 °C in a warming plate, stored at 4 °C, and used within 2 days. Laser microdissection was performed using a Leica AS laser capture microdissection system (Leica Microsystem, Inc.). Samples were deparaffinized in xylene for 10 min, dipped in 100 % ethanol for 2 min, and then air-dried. After collection of around 1,000 cells per cell type, RNA extraction buffer from a Pico Pure kit (Arcturus Engineering, Montain View, CA, USA) was added. Samples were incubated at 42ºC for 30 min, centrifugated at 800 g for 2 min, and stored at 80 °C. RNA was extracted with the Pico Pure kit (Arcturus Engineering), as described by Balestrini et al. (2007), and quantified using a NanoDrop 1,000 (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) spectrophotometer.

Absence of DNA contamination was confirmed by PCR assays in samples without retrotranscription. Retrotranscription and PCR amplification were carried out using the One Step RT-PCR kit (Qiagen). Samples were incubated for 30 min at 50 °C, followed by 15 min of incubation at 95 °C. Amplification reactions were run in a termocycler Flexcyler (AnalytikJena) for 40 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 40 s.

Statistical Analysis

Data for mycorrhizal root colonization and gene expression levels were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the software SPSS Statistics v. 19 for Windows. When appropriate, DMS's test was applied. Data for hormone content and gene expression were subjected to two-way analysis of variance (two-factor ANOVA, fungus and plant). Significance levels were set at 5 or 0.1 % as indicated.

Results

Root Colonization by F. mosseae and R. irregularis in Different Plant Families

To compare changes in defense related signalling associated to the AM symbiosis in different plant species, tomato, soybean, and maize were inoculated with the AMF *F. mosseae* and *R. irregularis*. Only maize showed a positive growth response to the inoculation with both AMF (Fig. S1). Both fungi were able to colonize the three host plant species and established AM symbiosis, with abundant intraradical colonization and arbuscules in all samples at harvesting. Mycorrhizal colonization levels for tomato and maize were similar (over 20 and 40 % for *F. mosseae* and *R. irregularis*, respectively), while in soybean their colonization levels were 45 and 80 % (Fig. 1). Thus, *R. irregularis* was a more effective root colonizer than *F. mosseae* in all plants tested.

Mycorrhiza Associated Changes Related to SA Signalling

UPLC-MS/MS analysis revealed that SA concentration was slightly higher in roots of tomato plants colonized by *F. mosseae* compared with non-mycorrhizal control plants (Fig. 2a). Interestingly, SA content also was higher in maize roots colonized by *R. irregularis* compared to non-mycorrhizal control plants, while they remained unaltered in *F. mosseae* mycorrhizal plants (Fig. 2c). However, in soybeans, no significant differences were found (Fig. 2b).

We analyzed by qPCR the expression of PR-1a genes, markers of SA regulated defenses that encode for an acidic form of the pathogenesis related protein PR1. In agreement with the changes in the SA content of the plants, a significant induction of tomato PR-1a was observed in *F. mosseae*-colonized roots, with no detectable changes upon colonization with *R. irregularis* (Fig. 2d). The same expression pattern was observed in soybean, with about 2-fold higher levels in plants colonized by *F. mosseae* (Fig. 2e). In contrast, only *R. irregularis* induced PR-1a expression in maize roots (Fig. 2f).

Taken on the whole, the data reveal that the AM symbiosis can result in elevated levels of SA in the three host species, but the changes depend on the plant-AMF combination.

Mycorrhiza Associated Changes Related to ABA Signalling

Free ABA was quantified by UPLC-MS/MS in tomato, soybean, and maize roots colonized by *F. mosseae* or *R. irregularis*. Abscisic acid content was not significantly

altered in either mycorrhizal tomato or soybean roots, compared with non-mycorrhizal control plants, regardless of the colonizing fungi (Fig. 3a and b). Conversely, in maize, lower ABA levels were observed in plants colonized by both AMF (Fig. 3c). To monitor changes in gene expression related to ABA signalling, we compared the transcript levels of ABA response marker genes: Le4, Lea, and ABP9 for tomato, soybean, and maize, respectively. These genes encode for dehydrins, proteins known to be associated with drought stress responses (Hanin et al. 2011). As in the case of ABA content, no changes in Le4 expression levels were observed in tomato roots (Fig. 3d). Similarly, no significant changes were detected for the ABP9 gene in maize (Fig. 3f). However, the expression of Lea in soybean was lower in *F. mosseae*-colonized plants, while *R. irregularis* colonization did not change its expression (Fig. 3e).

Mycorrhiza Associated Changes Related to JA Signalling

The levels of different jasmonates were determined in tomato, soybean, and maize colonized by either *F. mosseae* or *R. irregularis*. The levels of OPDA were significantly ($P<0.05$) higher in *F. mosseae* colonized roots in the three host plants (Fig. 4a, b and c). However, a different behavior was observed in plants colonized by *R. irregularis*. In tomato, *R. irregularis* induced an increase in OPDA content similar to that induced by *F. mosseae* (Fig. 4a). In contrast, OPDA levels were lower with R. irregularis colonized soybean, and not altered in maize plants (Fig. 4b and c). The content of free JA correlated with those of OPDA in maize (Fig. 4f), but no alteration was observed in tomato between mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal plants (Fig. 4d). In additions, free JA level was non-altered by *F. mosseae* or was decreased by *R. irregularis* in soybean (Fig. 4e). When JA-Ile was analyzed, higher levels were detected in tomato and soybean roots colonized by *F. mosseae*, but no changes in roots colonized by *R. irregularis* were observed (Fig. 4g and h). In maize, no significant differences in JA-Ile were observed between mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal plants (Fig. 4i).

Lipoxygenases are key enzymes in the biosynthesis of JA and other oxylipins, and they are positively regulated by JA. Here, LOX genes encoding for the lipoxygenases LOXA, LOX, and LOX10, confirmed as induced by JA in tomato, soybean, and maize, respectively, were used as markers (Christensen et al. 2013; López-Ráez et al. 2010a; Moy et al. 2004). In all three species, a higher expression of these genes was found in roots colonized by *F. mosseae* compared to the non mycorrhizal controls (Fig. 4j, k, and l). In contrast, colonization by *R. irregularis* did not significantly alter its expression in any of the host plants (Fig. 4j, k, and l).

The results illustrate a common pattern of regulation of the JA signalling pathway in mycorrhizal roots that is dependent on the AM fungi involved, and which is in general conserved across the three plant species tested.

General Analysis of Mycorrhiza Associated Changes in Defense-Related Signalling

On the whole, the results described above illustrate differences in the impact of the symbiosis on the host hormonal profiles depending on both the host plant and the AMF. Figure 5 summarizes the results regarding the impact of root colonization on all three plants by *F. mosseae* or *R. irregularis* on the defense-related pathways regulated by ABA, SA, and JAs. For each signalling pathway, the information obtained from the parameters analyzed (hormone content and changes in the expression of marker genes) is integrated using a color code (green = downregulation, red = upregulation of the pathway, intense colors if both metabolite and the corresponding marker gene expression are altered, and light green or orange when only one of the parameters -

metabolite or marker gene expression- is altered). The two-way ANOVA analysis of the data confirmed that ABA, OPDA, and JA levels were dependent on the plant and fungal genotypes and their interaction, while for SA the values were mostly affected by the plant (Table 1). Globally, the analysis reveals a general positive regulation of the SA- and JA-related pathways in mycorrhizal plants, while the modulation of the ABA-related pathway was neutral or negative (Fig. 5). This conserved enhancement of SA and JA levels in a well established mycorrhiza could mediate the plant control over AMF proliferation within the roots.

The overall analysis revealed a higher impact on defense-related signalling of *F. mosseae* compared to *R. irregularis* colonization in all three plants tested, with JA signalling being consistently induced (Fig. 5). Thus, the data suggest a more exhaustive control of F. mosseae by the host plant, which may underlie the reduced colonization achieved by this fungus when compared with *R. irregularis*.

Cell Specific Analysis of Gene Expression

Mycorrhization is a highly dynamic and asynchronous process with different colonization stages occurring simultaneously within the same root. Laser capture microdissection (LCM) offers an effective way to monitor gene expression in individual cells (Balestrini et al. 2009; Guether et al. 2009; Hogekamp et al. 2011). Aiming to better understand the regulatory role of JA signalling in the symbiosis, we investigated the spatial distribution of the changes to determine if the increase was restricted to arbuscule containing cells. Therefore, LCM was used to analyze changes in gene expression of different JA-responsive genes at the cellular level in the root cortex of tomato plants upon colonization by *F. mosseae*, the AMF leading to stronger and more consistent changes (Fig. 5). Arbuscule containing cells (Fm+) and cortical cells from *F. mosseae* colonized roots without arbuscules (Fm-) were obtained, and compared with cortical cells from non mycorrhizal plants (Nm) (Fig. 6a). Absence of DNA contamination was confirmed by PCR in RNA samples (data not shown). Equal loading was assessed by analysis of transcript levels of the tomato housekeeping gene SlUbiquitin (Fig. 6b). Expression of the AM fungal specific gene Fm 18S rRNA was detected only in cells from mycorrhizal roots, and especially in arbusculated cells (Fm+) (Fig. 6b). The light band detected in non-arbusculated cells from mycorrhizal roots (Fm-) indicated a limited contamination with fungal DNA, probably due to the presence of intercellular hyphae in the thickness of the section. SlPT4 encodes a phosphate transporter specifically associated to cells with arbuscules (Gómez-Ariza et al. 2009), and it is used as a marker of a functional symbiosis. Indeed, SlPT4 expression was detected only in Fm + cells (Fig. 6b), confirming a well established mycorrhization and confirming the discrimination between cells with and without arbuscules. The analysis of different JA regulated genes showed an upregulation in mycorrhizal roots. The JA responsive LoxA and AOS3 genes, involved in the biosynthesis of oxilipins of the 9 LOX branch, were induced in mycorrhizal roots, both in Fm + and Fm- cells, compared to non-mycorrhizal cells (Fig. 6b). The same pattern was observed for the JA biosynthesis gene AOS1 (Fig. 6b). In contrast, the JA-regulated defense gene MC, encoding for a multicystatin, was over the detection limit only in arbuscule containing cells (Fm+) (Fig. 6b). Lin6, encoding for an invertase with a regulatory role in mycorrhiza, and reported to be JA inducible was induced in mycorrhizal roots in both Fm + and Fm- cells (Fig. 6b), although to a higher extent in arbusculated cells. These data confirm the activation of JA metabolism and responses in the roots colonized by *F. mosseae*, not only in the arbusculated cells but also in the neighboring cells.

Discussion

Phytohormones are essential regulators of plant development and immunity (Pieterse et al. 2012; Vanstraelen and Benkov 2012), and many of the deep physiological changes described in mycorrhizal roots may be related to altered hormone levels in the host plant (Hause et al. 2007; Pozo and Azcón-Aguilar 2007; Ruiz-Lozano et al. 2012). However, their role in mycorrhiza establishment and functioning is poorly understood (Ludwig-Müller 2010). Notwithstanding, targeted metabolomic and transcriptomic analyses combined with pharmacological and genetic approaches have yielded abundant information in recent years (Hause et al. 2007; Ludwig-Müller 2010), although data are often fragmented and contradictory. The different experimental set ups, symbiotic stages analysed, and methodologies used for quantification make direct comparisons and conclusions difficult. In this work, we focused on well-established mycorrhizas, and tested the hypothesis that hormone content and hormone-related gene expression levels are dependent on the plant and fungal genotypes. Focussing on stress-related hormones associated with functional mycorrhizas in different AMF- host combinations and using high resolution techniques (UPLC-MS and qRT-PCR), we demonstrated that altered phytohormone-related patterns in mycorrhizal roots are a common feature across plant species. In addition, the two-way ANOVA analysis revealed that some hormonal related changes, mainly those related to ABA and JA, depend on both partner genotypes and their interactions.

Despite the high promiscuity of AMF species capable of forming a successful symbiosis with most host plants, a high functional diversity among different combinations has been reported in terms of morphology, efficiency, and gene expression patterns of the symbiosis (Feddermann et al. 2010). The two AMF species used in this study, *Funneliformis mosseae* and *Rhizophagus irregularis*, −formerly *Glomus mosseae* and *Glomus intraradices*, both within the Glomeraceae family (Krüger et al. 2012)- are the most common AMF used in functional and biodiversity studies, and they are present across drastically different environments (Smith and Read 2008). A different level of mycorrhizal colonization was observed when comparing both fungi, *R. irregularis* being the most effective colonizer in the three host plants tomato, soybean, and maize. Differences in the colonization by both AMF have been reported previously in several other plant species (Feddermann et al. 2008), evidencing differences in their colonization strategies. The quantification of the defense-related hormones ABA, SA, and JA, and the analysis of marker genes of the pathways they regulate, revealed significant changes in their basal levels in roots of mycorrhizal plants compared to non mycorrhizal controls, depending on the AMF and/or the plant involved.

The two AMF had different influence on the levels of SA and its related marker genes (Fig. 5). Only *F. mosseae* induced the SA-related pathway in tomato, while R. irregularis had no effect. A similar trend was observed in soybean. In contrast in maize, the SA pathway was induced exclusively by *R. irregularis* root colonization. Salicylic acid is a key phytohormone in the regulation of defense responses against biotrophs (Gutjahr and Paszkowski 2009), and its negative effect on AM colonization has been shown previously (García-Garrido and Ocampo 2002; Herrera-Medina et al. 2003). Thus, elevated SA levels in the roots could mediate plant control over AMF proliferation, and therefore, spatial and temporal regulation of SA within the roots is probably required following the dynamics of the association. Although SA increases have been related to initial stages of the AM interaction, we report here higher SA

levels associated to well established symbioses in tomato and maize. Elevated levels also have been reported in *F. mosseae* colonized clover (Zhang et al. 2013) and barley (Khaosaad et al. 2007). It has been proposed that SA signalling has a biphasic induction during AM symbiosis, with a first increase in presymbiotic stages that level off as the colonization initiates, and a second induction at later stages of root colonization likely to control colonization extension (Blilou et al. 1999; Gallou et al. 2012).

Regardless of the AMF involved, the ABA-pathway appeared unaltered (in tomato) or slightly repressed (soybean and maize) upon mycorrhization. Similarly, previous studies have reported no changes or repression of the ABA-related pathway in roots (Aroca et al. 2008, 2013; López-Ráez et al. 2010a) and leaves (Asensio et al. 2012; Fiorilli et al. 2009) from mycorrhizal plants. Abscisic acid is known to be a key signal in plant responses to stresses (Hirayama and Shinozaki 2007). Therefore, a reduction of ABA in plants may reflect the consequence of mycorrhiza on improved plant fitness (Barker and Tagu 2000; Ruiz-Lozano 2003). However, ABA also has been shown to play a role in the development of the arbuscules and their functionality (Herrera-Medina et al. 2007), and may be important in pre-symbiosis signalling through the regulation of strigolactone biosynthesis (López-Ráez et al. 2010b). Moreover, ABA is able to modulate other defense-related pathways through positive and negative interactions (Pieterse et al. 2012). Accordingly, the role of ABA in regulating mycorrhizas may depend on both its positive effect on arbuscules and its crosstalk with the SA/JA/ET pathways (Herrera-Medina et al. 2007; Martín-Rodríguez et al. 2011). Interestingly, Meixner et al. (2005) using a split-root system showed a local increase in ABA content in AMF colonized Medicago roots, but a decrease in the systemic, non colonized parts of the root system. Overall, it seems that mycorrhizal plants have to finely regulate ABA levels to promote arbuscule development, and at the same time, to efficiently regulate stress responses.

Finally, the effect of AM symbiosis on the JA-signalling pathway showed a conserved pattern among the plant species analyzed, but it was clearly dependent on the colonizing AMF (Fig. 5). Root colonization by *F. mosseae* led to an increase of JA-related compounds and the up-regulation of JA responsive genes in all plants tested. Oxo-phytodienoic acid levels rose in the three plants, together with increases in JA-Ile in tomato and soybean, and free JA in maize. Since the diverse JA-related compounds may have different functions, this differential accumulation pattern may indicate diverse roles in orchestrating the plant responses to mycorrhizal fungi, according to the host plant species. Interestingly, no major alterations in JA-signalling were observed in R. irregularis mycorrhizal plants (with higher colonization levels) compared to non-mycorrhizal plants, regardless of the plant species involved. Similarly, no changes in JA content have been described in R. irregularis colonized Nicotiana roots (Riedel et al. 2008). These observations demonstrate a strong dependence of the modulation of JA-signalling on the AMF genotype, with a negative correlation between JA increases and mycorrhizal extension, as shown for F. mosseae. The negative role of JA in the extension of AM colonization has been confirmed in the tomato JA insensitive mutant, jai1, that shows higher colonization levels than the wild-type (Fernandez et al., unpublished data; Herrera-Medina et al. 2008). Recently, JA signalling has been shown to be induced in late stages of ectomycorrhiza formation to limit, together with ET, intraradical fungal growth. Moreover, exogenous JA or ET application did not affect the early interaction events (mantle formation), but they affected the later stages, inhibiting the development of the Hartig net (Plett et al. 2014a).

The activation of some plant defenses during AMF colonization seems to occur at the cellular level, and probably different regulation patterns may coexist in time in different parts of the root system. Indeed, transcript dilution in whole root system analyses may mask some transcriptional changes occurring locally. Here, the induction of JA related gene expression in roots colonized by *F. mosseae* was consistent at the whole root scale and the colonized cortical cells. Jasmonic acid biosynthetic genes have been reported previously to be induced in arbuscule containing cells (Hause et al. 2002). Here, the analysis of the allene oxydase synthase encoding genes AOS1 and AOS3 at the cellular level in *F. mosseae* colonized tomato roots revealed an induction in arbuscule containing and non-containing cortical cells of mycorrhizal roots compared to those from non mycorrhizal roots. However, the induction of the JA-responsive marker genes MC and Lin6 was stronger in arbuscule-containing cells, thus supporting a spatial expression pattern of JA responsive genes. MC codes for a multicystatin involved in plant defense responses, and Lin6 encodes for an extracellular invertase induced in mycorrhizal roots with an important role in the symbiosis (Schaarschmidt et al. 2006, 2007). Both functions, defense and carbon metabolism, have been pointed out as the major roles of JAs in the symbiosis (Hause et al. 2007). In this regard, JA seems to contribute to the regulation of colonization in different ways, positively, by increasing the sink strength of mycorrhizal roots for carbohydrates that support fungal growth (Schaarschmidt et al. 2006) and negatively by restricting excessive colonization (Herrera-Medina et al. 2008). Besides that, JAs have been proposed to contribute to the reorganization of the cytoskeleton needed for fungal accommodation (Genre and Bonfante 2002; Genre et al. 2005). More recently, it has been proposed that JA may act by fine tuning gibberellins signalling, contributing to the regulation of the AM symbiosis according to phosphate availability (Gutjahr and Parniske 2013). The multifunctionality of these hormones, the existence of different active forms, and the spatial and temporal regulation of genes related to JA and other oxylipins (Gallou et al. 2012; Hause et al. 2002; León-Morcillo et al. 2012) suggest a precise control of the JAs homeostasis for fine-tuning the symbiosis.

In summary, we found that *R. irregularis* showed higher root colonization rates in all plants tested, while the changes in the host roots related to defense signalling, and mainly in the JA pathway, were lower than in *F. mosseae* colonized roots. This finding suggests a lessor control by the plant over *R. irregularis* colonization that may be related to the fungal ability to suppress or evade the plant defense response, thus achieving a higher root invasion (Campos-Soriano et al. 2010). Indeed, it has been reported that *R. irregularis* can deliver the effector protein SP7, which attenuates the plant immune response that enables the accommodation of the fungus within plant roots (Kloppholz et al. 2011), as it occurs in ectomycorrhizas (Plett et al. 2014b). How conserved and efficient this mechanism is in other AMF remains to be determined. It is possible that the different impact of each AMF on host defense signalling partially explains the functional diversity observed in terms of plant induced stress resistance. For example, it is tempting to speculate that the stronger activation by *F. mosseae* of JA signalling may underlie the higher ability of this AMF to induce mycorrhiza induced resistance (Jung et al. 2012; Pozo et al. 2002). Our results provide an overview of the changes related to stress related hormones in a well established mycorrhizal symbiosis, confirming our hypothesis that the changes depend on the partners genotypes and more specifically on the colonizing fungus.

Acknowledgements
This work was supported by grants AGL2009-07691 and AGL2012-39923 from the National R&D Plan of the MINCIN and the PhD fellowship BES-2007-15918 from the MINCIN to IF. We thank the SCIC Universitat Jaume I for technical assistance in hormone determinations. We acknowledge Ted Farmer from the University of Lausanne and B Mauch-Mani from the University of Neuchatel for providing the JA–Ile standard. We acknowledge J. Gómez-Ariza and R. Balestrini from the University of Torino for their assistance in LMD technique and J.M. Garcia-Garrido for helpful discussions.

References
Aroca R, Vernieri P, Ruiz-Lozano JM (2008) Mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal Lactuca sativa plants exhibit contrasting responses to exogenous ABA during drought stress and recovery. J Exp Bot 59:2029–2041

Aroca R, Ruiz-Lozano JM, Zamarreño TM, Paz JA, García-Mina JM, Pozo MJ, López-Ráez JA (2013) Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis influences strigolactone production under salinity and alleviates salt stress in lettuce plants. J Plant Physiol 170:47–55

Asensio D, Rapparini F, Peñuelas J (2012) AM fungi root colonization increases the production of essential isoprenoids vs. nonessential isoprenoids especially under drought stress conditions or after jasmonic acid application. Phytochemistry 77:149–161

Balestrini R, Gomez-Ariza J, Lanfranco L, Bonfante P (2007) Laser microdissection reveals that transcripts for five plant and one fungal phosphate transporter genes are contemporaneously present in arbusculated cells. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 20:1055–1062

Balestrini R, Gómez-Ariza J, Klink VP, Bonfante P (2009) Application of laser microdissection to plant pathogenic and symbiotic interactions. J Plant Interact 4:81–92
CrossRef
Barea JM, Pozo MJ, Azcón R, Azcón-Aguilar C (2005) Microbial co-operation in the rhizosphere. J Exp Bot 56:1761–1778

Barker SJ, Tagu D (2000) The roles of auxins and cytokinins in mycorrhizal symbioses. J Plant Growth Regul 19:144–154

Blilou I, Ocampo J, García-Garrido J (1999) Resistance of pea roots to endomycorrhizal fungus or Rhizobium correlates with enhanced levels of endogenous salicylic acid. J Exp Bot 50:1663–1668

Bonfante P, Genre A (2010) Mechanisms underlying beneficial plant-fungus interactions in mycorrhizal symbiosis. Nat Commun 27:1–11

Breuillin F, Schramm J, Hajirezaei M, Ahkami A, Favre P, Druege U, Hause B, Bucher M, Kretzschmar T, Bossolini E, Kuhlemeier C, Martinoia E, Franken P, Scholz U, Reinhardt D (2010) Phosphate systemically inhibits development of

arbuscular mycorrhiza in Petunia hybrida and represses genes involved in mycorrhizal functioning. Plant J 64:1002–1017

Campos-Soriano L, Segundo BS (2011) New insights into the signaling pathways controlling defense gene expression in rice roots during the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Plant Signal Behav 6:553–557

Campos-Soriano L, García-Garrido JM, Segundo BS (2010) Activation of basal defense mechanisms of rice plants by Glomus intraradices does not affect the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. New Phytol 188:597–614

Campos-Soriano L, García-Martínez J, Segundo BS (2012) The arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis promotes the systemic induction of regulatory defence-related genes in rice leaves and confers resistance to pathogen infection. Mol Plant Pathol 13:579–592

Cavagnaro TR, Gao LL, Smith FA, Smith SE (2001) Morphology of arbuscular mycorrhizas is influenced by fungal identity. New Phytol 151:469–475

Christensen SA, Nemchenko A, Borrego E, Murray I, Sobhy IS, Bosak L, DeBlasio S, Erb M, Robert CA, Vaughn KA, Herrfurth C, Tumlison J, Feussner I, Jackson D, Turlings TC, Engelberth J, Nansen C, Meeley R, Kolomiets MV (2013) The maize lipoxygenase, ZmLOX10, mediates green leaf volatile, jasmonate and herbivore-induced plant volatile production for defense against insect attack. Plant J 74:59–73

de Roman M, Fernandez I, Wyatt T, Sahrawy M, Heil M, Pozo MJ (2011) Elicitation of foliar resistance mechanisms transiently impairs root association with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. J Ecol 99:36–45

Feddermann N, Boller T, Salzer P, Elfstrand S, Wiemken A, Elfstrand M (2008) Medicago truncatula shows distinct patterns of mycorrhiza-related gene expression after inoculation with three different arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Planta 227:671–680

Feddermann N, Finlay R, Boller T, Elfstrand M (2010) Functional diversity in arbuscular mycorrhiza - the role of gene expression, phosphorous nutrition and symbiotic efficiency. Fungal Ecol 3:1–8

Fiorilli V, Catoni M, Miozzi L, Novero M, Accotto GP, Lanfranco L (2009) Global and cell-type gene expression profiles in tomato plants colonized by an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. New Phytol 184:975–987

Flors V, Ton J, van Doorn R, Jakab G, García-Agustín P, Mauch-Mani B (2008) Interplay between JA, SA and ABA signaling during basal and induced resistance against Pseudomonas syringae and Alternaria brassicicola. Plant J 54:81–92

Fracetto GGM, Peres LEP, Mehdy MC, Lambais MR (2013) Tomato ethylene mutants exhibit differences in arbuscular mycorrhiza development and levels of plant defense-related transcripts. Symbiosis 60:155–167

Gallou A, Declerck S, Cranenbrouck S (2012) Transcriptional regulation of defence genes and involvement of the WRKY transcription factor in arbuscular mycorrhizal potato root colonization. Funct Integr Genom 12:183–198

García-Garrido J, Ocampo J (2002) Regulation of the plant defence response in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. J Exp Bot 53:1377–1386

Geil RD, Guinel FC (2002) Effects of elevated substrate-ethylene on colonization of leek (Allium porrum) by the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus Glomus aggregatum. Can J Bot 80:114–119

Genre A, Bonfante P (2002) Epidermal cells of a symbiosis-defective mutant of Lotus japonicus show altered cytoskeleton organisation in the presence of a mycorrhizal fungus. Protoplasma 219:43–50

Genre A, Chabaud M, Timmers T, Bonfante P, Barker DG (2005) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi elicit a novel intracellular apparatus in Medicago truncatula root epidermal cells before infection. Plant Cell 17:3489–3499

Giovannetti M, Mosse B (1980) Evaluation of techniques for measuring vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal infection in roots. New Phytol 84:489–500

Gómez-Ariza J, Balestrini R, Novero M, Bonfante P (2009) Cell-specific gene expression of phosphate transporters in mycorrhizal tomato roots. Biol Fertil Soils 45:845–853

Guether M, Balestrini R, Hannah M, He J, Udvardi MK, Bonfante P (2009) Genome-wide reprogramming of regulatory networks, transport, cell wall and membrane biogenesis during arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in Lotus japonicus. New Phytol 182:200–212

Güimil S, Chang HS, Zhu T, Sesma A, Osbourn A, Roux C, Ioannidis V, Oakeley EJ, Docquier M, Descombes P, Briggs SP, Paszkowski U (2005) Comparative transcriptomics of rice reveals an ancient pattern of response to microbial colonization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:8066–8070

Gutjahr C, Parniske M (2013) Cell and developmental biology of arbuscular mycorrhiza symbiosis. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 29:593–617

Gutjahr C, Paszkowski U (2009) Weights in the balance: jasmonic acid and salicylic acid signaling in root-biotroph interactions. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 22:763–772

Hanin M, Brini F, Ebel C, Toda Y, Takeda S, Masmoudi K (2011) Plant dehydrins and stress tolerance: versatile proteins for complex mechanisms. Plant Signal Behav 6:1503–1509

Hause B, Schaarschmidt S (2009) The role of jasmonates in mutualistic symbioses between plants and soil-born microoganisms. Phytochemistry 70:1589–1599

Hause B, Maier W, Miersch O, Kramell R, Strack D (2002) Induction of jasmonate biosynthesis in arbuscular mycorrhizal barley roots. Plant Physiol 130:1213–1220

Hause B, Mrosk C, Isayenkov S, Strack D (2007) Jasmonates in arbuscular mycorrhizal interactions. Phytochemistry 68:101–110

Herrera-Medina MJ, Gagnon H, Piche Y, Ocampo JA, García-Garrido JM, Vierheilig H (2003) Root colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi is affected by the salicylic acid content of the plant. Plant Sci 164:993–998

Herrera-Medina MJ, Steinkellner S, Vierheilig H, Ocampo JA, García-Garrido JM (2007) Abscisic acid determines arbuscule development and functionality in the tomato arbuscular mycorrhiza. New Phytol 175:554–564

Herrera-Medina MJ, Tamayo MI, Vierheilig H, Ocampo JA, Garcia-Garrido JM (2008) The jasmonic acid signalling pathway restricts the development of the arbuscular mycorrhizal association in tomato. J Plant Growth Regul 27:221–230

Hewitt EJ (1966) Sand and water culture methods used in the study of plant nutrition. Technical communication no. 22. Commonwealth Agriculture Bureau, London

Hirayama T, Shinozaki K (2007) Perception and transduction of abscisic acid signals: keys to the function of the versatile plant hormone ABA. Trends Plant Sci 12:343–351

Hogekamp C, Arndt D, Pereira PA, Becker JD, Hohnjec N, Küster H (2011) Laser microdissection unravels cell-type-specific transcription in arbuscular mycorrhizal roots, including CAAT-box transcription factor gene expression correlating with fungal contact and spread. Plant Physiol 157:2023–2043

Isayenkov S, Mrosk C, Stenzel I, Strack D, Hause B (2005) Suppression of allene oxide cyclase in hairy roots of Medicago truncatula reduces jasmonate levels and the degree of mycorrhization with Glomus intraradices. Plant Physiol 139:1401–1410

Jung SC, Martinez-Medina A, López-Ráez JA, Pozo MJ (2012) Mycorrhiza-induced resistance and priming of plant defenses. J Chem Ecol 38:651–664

Khaosaad T, García-Garrido JM, Steinkellner S, Vierheilig H (2007) Take-all disease is systemically reduced in roots of mycorrhizal barley plants. Soil Biol Biochem 39:727–734

Kiers ET, Duhamel M, Beesetty Y, Mensah JA, Franken O, Verbruggen E, Fellbaum CR, Kowalchuk GA, Hart MM, Bago A, Palmer TM, West SA, Vandenkoornhuyse P, Jansa J, Bucking H (2011) Reciprocal rewards stabilize cooperation in the mycorrhizal symbiosis. Science 333:880–882

Kloppholz S, Kuhn H, Requena N (2011) A secreted fungal effector of Glomus intraradices promotes symbiotic biotrophy. Curr Biol 21:1204–1209

Krüger M, Krüger C, Walker C, Stockinger H, Schüßler A (2012) Phylogenetic reference data for systematics and phylotaxonomy of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi from phylum to species level. New Phytol 193:970–984

Landgraf R, Schaarschmidt S, Hause B (2012) Repeated leaf wounding alters the colonization of Medicago truncatula roots by beneficial and pathogenic microorganisms. Plant Cell Environ 35:1344–1357

León-Morcillo RJ, Martín-Rodríguez JA, Vierheilig H, Ocampo JA, García-Garrido JM (2012) Late activation of the 9-oxylipin pathway during arbuscular mycorrhiza formation in tomato and its regulation by jasmonate signalling. J Exp Bot 63:3545–3558

Liu J, Blaylock LA, Endre G, Cho J, Town CD, VandenBosch KA, Harrison MJ (2003) Transcript profiling coupled with spatial expression analyses reveals genes involved in distinct developmental stages of an arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Plant Cell 15:2106–2123

Liu J, Maldonado-Mendoza I, Lopez-Meyer M, Cheung F, Town CD, Harrison MJ (2007) Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis is accompanied by local and systemic alterations in gene expression and an increase in disease resistance in the shoots. Plant J 50:529–544

Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2-ΔΔCT method. Methods 25:402–408

López-Ráez JA, Verhage A, Fernández I, García JM, Azcón-Aguilar C, Flors V, Pozo MJ (2010a) Hormonal and transcriptional profiles highlight common and differential host responses to arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and the regulation of the oxylipin pathway. J Exp Bot 61:2589–2601

López-Ráez JA, Kohlen W, Charnikhova T, Mulder P, Undas AK, Sergeant MJ, Verstappen F, Bugg TD, Thompson AJ, Ruyter-Spira C, Bouwmeester H (2010b) Does abscisic acid affect strigolactone biosynthesis? New Phytol 187:343–354

López-Ráez JA, Pozo MJ, García-Garrido JM (2011) Strigolactones: a cry for help in the rhizosphere. Botany 89:513–522

Ludwig-Müller J (2010) Hormonal responses in host plants triggered by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. In: Koltai H, Kapulnik Y (eds) Arbuscular mycorrhizas: physiology and function. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 169–190

Ludwig-Müller J, Bennett RN, García-Garrido JM, Piché Y, Vierheilig H (2002) Reduced arbuscular mycorrhizal root colonization in Tropaeolum majus and Carica papaya after jasmonic acid application cannot be attributed to increased glucosinolate levels. J Plant Physiol 159:517–523

Martínez-Medina A, Roldán A, Albacete A, Pascual JA (2011) The interaction with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi or Trichoderma harzianum alters the shoot hormonal profile in melon plants. Phytochemistry 72:223–229

Martín-Rodriguez JA, León-Morcillo R, Vierheilig H, Ocampo JA, Ludwig-Müller J, García-Garrido JM (2010) Mycorrhization of the notabilis and sitiens tomato mutants in relation to abscisic acid and ethylene contents. J Plant Physiol 167:606–613

Martín-Rodríguez J, León-Morcillo R, Vierheilig H, Ocampo JA, Ludwig-Müller J, García-Garrido JM (2011) Ethylene-dependent/ethylene-independent ABA regulation of tomato plants colonized by arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi. New Phytol 190:193–205

Meixner C, Ludwig-Müller J, Miersch O, Gresshoff P, Staehelin C, Vierheilig H (2005) Lack of mycorrhizal autoregulation and phytohormonal changes in the supernodulating soybean mutant nts1007. Planta 222:709–715

Moy P, Qutob D, Chapman BP, Atkinson I, Gijzen M (2004) Patterns of gene expression upon infection of soybean plants by Phytophthora sojae. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 17:1051–1062

Parniske M (2008) Arbuscular mycorrhiza: the mother of plant root endosymbioses. Nat Rev Microbiol 6:763–775

Partida-Martínez, Heil M (2012) The microbe free plant factor artifact? Frontiers in Plant Sci Volume2, Article100 doi: 10.3389/fpls.2011.00100 DOI:10.3389%2Ffpls.2011.00100#pmc_ext

Phillips JM, Hayman DS (1970) Improved procedures for clearing roots and staining parasitic and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. Trans Br Mycol Soc 55:158–161

Pieterse CMJ, Leon-Reyes A, Van der Ent S, van Wees SCM (2009) Networking by small-molecule hormones in plant immunity. Nat Chem Biol 5:308–316

Pieterse CMJ, Van Der Does D, Zamioudis C, Leon-Reyes A, van Wees SCM (2012) Hormonal modulation of plant immunity. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 28:489–521

Pineda A, Dicke M, Pieterse CMJ, Pozo MJ (2013) Beneficial microbes in a changing environment: are they always helping plants to deal with insects? Funct Ecol. doi:10. 1111/1365-2435.12050

Plett JM, Khachane A, Ouassou M, Sundberg B, Kohler A, Martin F (2014a) Ethylene and jasmonic acid act as negative modulators during mutualistic symbiosis between Laccaria bicolor and Populus roots. New Phytol 202:270–286

Plett JMY, Daguerre Wittulsky S, Vayssières A, Deveau A, Melton S, Kohler A, Morrell-Falvey J, Brun A, Veneault-Fourrey C, Martin F (2014b) Effector MiSSP7 of the mutualistic fungus Laccaria bicolor stabilizes the Populus JAZ6 protein and represses jasmonic acid (JA) responsive genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:8299–8304

Pozo MJ, Azcón-Aguilar C (2007) Unravelling mycorrhiza induced resistance. Curr Opin Plant Biol 10:393–398

Pozo MJ, Cordier C, Dumas-Gaudot E, Gianinazzi S, Barea JM, Azcón-Aguilar C (2002) Localized versus systemic effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on defence responses to Phytophthora infection in tomato plants. J Exp Bot 53:525–534

Pozo MJ, Loon LCV, Pieterse CMJ (2004) Jasmonates-signals in plant-microbe interactions. J Plant Growth Regul 23:211–222

Raaijmakers JM, Paulitz TC, Steinberg C, Alabouvette C, Moënne-Loccoz Y (2009) The rhizosphere: a playground and battlefield for soilborne pathogens and beneficial microorganisms. Plant Soil 321:341–361

Regvar M, Gogala N, Zalar P (1996) Effects of jasmonic acid on mycorrhizal Allium sativum. New Phytol 134:703–707

Requena N, Serrano E, Ocon A, Breuninger M (2007) Plant signals and fungal perception during arbuscular mycorrhiza establishment. Phytochemistry 68:33–40

Riedel T, Groten K, Baldwin IT (2008) Symbiosis between Nicotiana attenuata and Glomus intraradices: ethylene plays a role, jasmonic acid does not. Plant Cell Environ 31:1203–1213

Ruiz-Lozano JM (2003) Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis and alleviation of osmotic stress. New perspectives for molecular studies. Mycorrhiza 13:309–317

Ruiz-Lozano JM, Porcel R, Azcón-Aguilar C, Aroca R (2012) Regulation by arbuscular mycorrhiza of the integrated physiological response to salinity in plants: new challenges in physiological and molecular studies. J Exp Bot 63:4033–4044

Santino A, Taurino M, De Domenico S, Bonsegna S, Poltronieri P, Pastor V, Flors V (2013) Jasmonate signaling in plant development and defense response to multiple (a)biotic stresses. Plant Cell Rep 32:1085–1098

Schaarschmidt S, Roitsch T, Hause B (2006) Arbuscular mycorrhiza induces gene expression of the apoplastic invertase LIN6 in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) roots. J Exp Bot 57:4015–4023

Schaarschmidt S, Kopka J, Ludwig-Müller J, Hause B (2007) Regulation of arbuscular mycorrhization by apoplastic invertases: enhanced invertase activity in the leaf apoplast affects the symbiotic interaction. Plant J 51:390–405

Smith SE, Read DJ (2008) Mycorrhizal symbiosis. Academic, New York, p 787
Smith SE, Smith FA, Jakobsen I (2004) Functional diversity in arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) symbioses: the contribution of the mycorrhizal P uptake pathway is not correlated with mycorrhizal responses in growth or total P uptake. New Phytol 162:511–524

Stumpe M, Carsjens JG, Stenzel I, Gobel C, Lang I, Pawlowski K, Hause B, Feussner I (2005) Lipid metabolism in arbuscular mycorrhizal roots of Medicago truncatula. Phytochemistry 66:781–791

Vanstraelen M, Benkov E (2012) Hormonal interactions in the regulation of plant development. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 28:463–487

Vierheilig H, Piche Y (2002) Signalling in arbuscular mycorrhiza: facts and hypotheses. In: Buslig B, Manthey J (eds) Flavonoids in cell function. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, pp 23–39

Wasternack C (2014) Action of jasmonates in plant stress responses and development — applied aspects. Biotechnol Adv 32:31–39

Zamioudis C, Pieterse CMJ (2012) Modulation of host immunity by beneficial microbes. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 25:139–150

Zhang R, Zhu H, Zhao H, Yao Q (2013) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal inoculation increases phenolic synthesis in clover roots via hydrogen peroxide, salicylic acid and nitric oxide signaling pathways. J Plant Physiol 170:74–79



Figure 1
Quantification of mycorrhizal colonization of tomato, soybean and maize roots inoculated with Funneliformis mosseae (Fm) or Rhizophagus irregularis (Ri). Data represent the means of 4 independent replicates ± SE. Data not sharing a letter in common differ significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) according to DMS's test



Figure 2
Effect of mycorrhizal colonization on the salicylic acid (SA) signalling pathway in tomato, soybean, and maize roots colonized by either *Funneliformis mosseae* (Fm) or *Rhizophagus irregularis* (Ri). a, b, c, SA levels in roots of non mycorrhizal plants (Nm) or plants colonized by *F. mosseae* (Fm) or *R. irregularis* (Ri). d, e, f, relative expression of the SA-responsive PR-1a genes. Gene expression was normalized to the expression of constitutively expressed genes selected as reference for each plant (encoding for elongation factors SlEF1, GmEF1, and ZmEF1). Data points represent the means of five (UPLC-MS/MS) or three (qPCR) independent biological replicates ± SE. Data not sharing a letter in common differ significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) according to DMS's test



Figure 3
Effect of mycorrhizal colonization on the abscisic acid (ABA) signalling pathway in tomato, soybean, and maize roots colonized by either Funneliformis mosseae (Fm) or Rhizophagus irregularis (Ri). a, b, c, ABA levels in roots of non mycorrhizal plants (Nm) or plants colonized by F. mosseae (Fm) or R. irregularis (Ri). d, e, f, relative expression of the ABA-responsive genes Le4, Lea and ABP8 in tomato, soybean and maize roots, respectively. Replication, normalization and statistical analysis as described in Fig. 2.



Figure 4

Effect of mycorrhizal colonization on the oxylipin/jasmonate signalling pathway in tomato, soybean and maize roots colonized by either *Funneliformis mosseae* (Fm) or *Rhizophagus irregularis* (Ri). Levels of oxo-phytodienoic acid (OPDA) (a, b, c), free JA (d, e, f) and JA-Ile (g, h, i) in roots of non mycorrhizal plants (Nm) or plants colonized by F. mosseae (Fm) or R. irregularis (Ri). j, k, l, relative gene expression for the JA-responsive genes LOXA, LOX, and LOX10 in tomato, soybean and maize roots respectively. Replication, normalization and statistical analysis as described in Fig. 2.



Figure 5
Summary of the changes in the different hormone-related pathways induced in the host plants by the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) *Funneliformis mosseae* and *Rhizophagus irregularis* [abscisic acid (ABA), salicylic acid (SA), and jasmonic acids (JAs)]. Red indicates up-regulation and green indicates down-regulation of the different hormonal pathways. Changes only in one of the parameters analyzed (hormone content or expression level of marker genes) are indicated in orange when up-regulated and light green when down-regulated. Yellow indicates no changes compared to non-mycorrhizal control plants.



Figure 6

Detection of marker gene transcripts in laser microdissected cell types in non mycorrhizal or *F. mosseae* colonized tomato roots. The analysis was performed by One step RT-PCR in three different cell types: cortical cells from non mycorrhizal controls (Nm), non colonized cortical cells of mycorrhizal roots (Fm-), and arbuscule containing cortical cells (Fm+) (arrows point to the arbuscules) (a). The figure shows gel electrophoresis of the amplification products, and their corresponding size is indicated on the right (b). SlUbi, Ubiquitin, Fm18SrRNA,AMF 18S ribosomic RNA, SlPT4, Phosphate transporter4, SlLOXA, lipoxygenase A, SlAOS1, allene oxide synthase 1, SlAOS3, allene oxydase synthase 3, SlMC, multicistatin, SlLin6 extracellular invertase 6

Table 1

Significance of the sources of variation after *two-way anova* analysis for the hormone content and expression levels of related responsive (r-gene) genes

P-value							
	ABA		SA		JAs		
	[ABA]	R-Gene	[SA]	R-Gene	[OPDA]	[JA]	[JA-Ile]
Plant	<0,001**	<0,001**	<0,001**	<0,001**	<0,001**	<0,001**	0,655
Fungus	0,002*	0,116	0,158	0,054	<0,001**	<0,001**	0,189
Plant x Fungus	<0,001**	0,091	0,204	0,029*	<0,001**	<0,001**	0,142

*P-values were considered significant, * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.001*